

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/068,866 | 10/23/1998 | KATSUAKIRA MORIWAKE | 450108-4484 | 450108-4484 2773 | |
| 20999 7 | 07/08/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. | | | CHUONG, TRUC T | | |
| NEW YORK, | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2179 | 2179 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 07/09/2000 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/068,866 | MORIWAKE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | |

| Before the Filing of all Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Truc T. Chuong | 2179 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which | | | | | | |
| places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | 121. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | : (PTOL-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | • | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . | | : | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>149-152 and 159-163</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ails to provide a (1). | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | | · | ĺ | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | | BA HUYN PRIMARY EXA | MINER | | | |
| | | 7 - 1 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant added new limitation in claims 162 and 163, for example, "automatically generated textual indicia"; therefore, the new limitation added would require further search and consideration.

2